

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,546	09/14/2005	Martine Barth	11123.0101USWO	1427	
23552 MERCHANT	7590 06/06/200 & GOULD PC	8	EXAM	UNER	
P.O. BOX 2903			MURRAY, JEFFREY H		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/06/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/549,546
 BARTH ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 JEFFREY H. MURRAY
 1624

	JEFFREY H. MURRAY	1624					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):						
(1) <u>JEFFREY H. MURRAY</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>ERIC DEMASTER</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 04 June 2008.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 10</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached. h) N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Examiner and applicant discussed claim 10 in particular, which appeared to have an improper Markush preaamble ("compiness" instead of "consisting of") and also had compounds listed in claim 10 which did not appear to fit with the compounds of claim 1. Applicant will consult with inventors and respond by Friday, <u>June 6, 2008.</u>  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.</u>							
	/Jeffrey H Murray/ Examiner, Art Unit 1624 /JOW/						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.  U.S. Patent and Tredemark Office	Examiner's signature, if requi	red					